

Ha, Dac V.

From: Zele, Krista
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2006 11:31 AM
To: Ha, Dac V.
Subject: RE: petition to correct inventorship in application SN 09/863,081

Dac, If atty did everything right to change inventorship under 1.48(a), (see MPEP 201.03, part II), then you can use fp. 2.14. Note: this form paragraph instructs that you will need to notify OIPE to issue a corrected filing receipt with the corrected inventorship; however, i believe you can inform your LIE or Team Leader that they need to correct palm with the inventorship change and they can mail out the corrected filing receipt for you. On the other hand; if the petition is deficient in some manner, you'll need to review available fps on pages 200-10 thru 200-11; and of course we won't make any change in PALM.

Also, I'm not sure if you want to mail this out as a misc. communication or a suppl. NOA. As long as the communication is clear that it is responsive to the petition to correct inventorship filed 2/16/06; it should be ok either way. and pls make sure that your LIE/ Team Leader updates PALM to reflect the correct inventorship if you 'grant' the request since i don't think OIPE is responsible for this anymore.

let me know if any thing else... - thanks- Krista

-----Original Message-----

From: Ha, Dac V.
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2006 10:54 AM
To: Zele, Krista
Subject: RE: petition to correct inventorship in application SN 09/863,081

Hi Krista,

Is ALL I have to do is to make the decision and send out a Mis. Letter to the applicant?

Thanks,

Dac Ha

-----Original Message-----

From: Zele, Krista
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2006 12:09 PM
To: Patel, Jay (SPE AU2611); Ha, Dac V.
Subject: petition to correct inventorship in application SN 09/863,081

Jay and/or Dac, please note that petitions/requests for correction of inventorship are for the Primary Examiner to decide (MPEP 1004- see page 1000-14, right hand column, lines 4-6). I forwarded the IFW message to you. Please review appropriate section(s) in MPEP 201.03 to decide if the request is proper. Form paragraphs are provided in MPEP 201.03 for 'granting' or 'denying' these requests. If you have any questions, please give me a call.

NOTE: please expedite asap. applicant has already paid the issue fee. If the application becomes a patent before this is treated, it will become a little more complicated (then you will need to be treat the petition under 1.48 as a petition under 37 CFR 1.324 for SPE signature, see MPEP 1481.02). thanks for your help - Krista (x 2-7288)

Please make the appropriate change in PALM

Thanks,

DH